

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 08 2005

Navy Case No. 82,530

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

In re: Kafafi et al
Serial No.: 09/995,736
Filed: Nov. 29, 2001
For: A Universal Host For RG OR RGB Emission In
Organic Light Emitting Devices

Examiner: Elizabeth M. Keaney
Art Unit: 2882

Date: August 8, 2005

Non-Compliant Amendment Letter

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20230:

Sir:


This is in reference to the PTO document entitled "Notice of Non - Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)" dated July 19, 2005, which informed the undersigned that the amendment filed 7-11-05 was considered to be non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In explaining the problem, it was stated under item 4 that

"The amendment file 10-6-04 cancel claims 1-26 and added new claims 27-37. See attachment amendment file 7-11-05"

Clarification was sought since the file of that NC 82, 530, i.e., this file, does not contain amendment 10-6-04 where claims 1-26 were cancelled and new claims 27-37 were presented. There is in the file a document dated 10-7-06 but it is entitled "Second Appeal Brief" and it does not appear to be pertinent to the issue at hand.

Clarification is requested. Initially, legal Instruments Examiner Geraldine Stanley was called at 571-172-1625 and a message was left to call the undersigned back since Ms. Stanley was not in. However, this letter was then prepared after not having a reply for several days.


Respectfully submitted,


George A. Kap
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 22,898

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this amendment is being faxed to PTO on the date shown below:

8-8-05
Date


George A. Kap